Subject: Re: Debate

Date: Tuesday, 16 June 2009 at 11:00:16 British Summer Time

From:

To: mikemew@gmail.com

CC:



Attachments: Untitled01

In a message dated 16/06/2009 10:08:32 GMT Standard Time, mikemew@gmai.com writes:

Dear Co eges,

I fee very strong y about this issue, and I would ke to have your attention again. In the recent editor all, that I placed in the BDJ, was an invitation for an open debate on the aetiology of maloccusion, which has been dismissed by and others. It was felt that there was inadequate difference of opin on to warrant aid scussion and that debates are confrontational and do not have a good history of advancing knowledge. Subsequently you have decined either to enter a debate or to assist me in finding a debating opponent and while was will not respond to me in private, he decined to respond more openly with a letter to the BDJ.

As the execut ves and trustees of the Brtsh Orthodont c Soc ety, I fet that t was important to cc you ear er in this conversation to seek your opin on and so far I have received no responses from you at a idia sagreeing in any way with my califor an open discussion within the profession. However I am now calling for your active support in promoting an open debate. Such a debate is essent aligned yen that we are treating althrough the population without really knowing what causes the problem.

Ignor ng these ssues, avoid ng an open discussion, and hoping that this will align a go away is not scient fic protocol. I must therefore in sit that that you either support my call for an open debate within the profession or I will have no option but to refer matters to the GDC.

Yours s ncere y,

M chae Mew

Dear Michae

I have being fo owing your correspondence with on this subject and stand by and support his view as spokesperson and Chairman of BOS.

There is nothing I wish to add to statements to you.

It is just disappointing that you have to resort to vei ed threats (the ast ine of your emai above) when you shou d be concentrating your time and efforts in providing we contro ed research to back up and prove your views.

Yours sincere y





